

# *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University*

---

*Volume 27, Issue 1*

1985

*Article 16*

JANUARY 1985

---

## Maximal linear topologies and the complement of linear topologies

Hisao Katayama\*

\*Yamaguchi University

Copyright ©1985 by the authors. *Mathematical Journal of Okayama University* is produced by The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress). <http://escholarship.lib.okayama-u.ac.jp/mjou>

# MAXIMAL LINEAR TOPOLOGIES AND THE COMPLEMENT OF LINEAR TOPOLOGIES

Dedicated to Professor Hisao Tominaga on his 60th birthday

HISAO KATAYAMA

**Introduction.** The purpose of this paper is two-fold. First, we characterize the maximal left linear topology on a ring. Applying this, we again prove the equivalence of conditions on a ring, obtained by Nicholson and Sarath [6], to have a unique maximal left linear topology. Secondly, as in parallel with Meijer and Smith [7], we investigate the complement of left linear topologies on a ring  $R$ . Thus we consider the collection  $C(R)$  of those left ideals of  $R$  which do not belong to any proper left linear topology on  $R$ . Two extremes when  $C(R)$  consists of all proper left ideals of  $R$  and  $C(R) = \{0\}$  are examined.

**0. Preliminaries.** Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(R)$  the set of all left ideals of  $R$ , and by  $R\text{-mod}$  the category of all unital left  $R$ -modules. For  $A \in \mathcal{L}(R)$  and a subset  $F$  of  $R$ , we set  $AF^{-1} = \{x \in R \mid xF \subseteq A\}$ . A nonempty subset  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathcal{L}(R)$  is called a *left linear topology* if the following conditions are satisfied :

- T1. If  $I \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $J \in \mathcal{L}(R)$  and  $I \leq J$ , then  $J \in \mathcal{L}$ .
- T2. If  $I$  and  $J$  belong to  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $I \cap J \in \mathcal{L}$ .
- T3. If  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $a \in R$ , then  $Ia^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}$ .

A left linear topology  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $R$  is called a *left Gabriel topology* if  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies a further condition :

- T4. If  $I \in \mathcal{L}(R)$  and there exists  $J \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $Ij^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}$  for every  $j \in J$ , then  $I \in \mathcal{L}$ .

A left linear topology  $\mathcal{L}$  is called *proper* if  $0 \notin \mathcal{L}$ . If  $\mathcal{L}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  are left linear topologies on  $R$ , we define  $\mathcal{L}_1 \leq \mathcal{L}_2$  if every member of  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is a member of  $\mathcal{L}_2$ . A subclass  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $R\text{-mod}$  is called a *hereditary pretorsion class* if  $\mathcal{S}$  is closed under isomorphisms, submodules, factor modules and direct sums.  $\mathcal{S}$  is called *proper* if  $R \notin \mathcal{S}$ . A preradical  $r$  for  $R\text{-mod}$  is called *left exact* if  $r(N) = r(M) \cap N$  for every  $M \in R\text{-mod}$  and every submodule  $N$  of  $M$ . It is called *proper* if  $r(R) \neq R$ , and is called *cofaithful* if  $r(Q) = Q$  for every injective  $Q \in R\text{-mod}$ . For preradicals  $r$  and  $s$  for

$R$ -mod, we define a preradical  $r+s$  by  $(r+s)(M) = r(M) + s(M)$  for all  $M \in R$ -mod. For a module  $Q \in R$ -mod, we define a preradical  $t_Q$  for  $R$ -mod by  $t_Q(M) = \sum \text{Im } \alpha$ ,  $\alpha$  ranging over  $\text{Hom}_R(Q, M)$ , for each  $M \in R$ -mod. We remark that  $t_Q$  is cofaithful if and only if  $Q$  is cofaithful, i.e.,  $Q$  generates all injective left  $R$ -modules, or equivalently,  $R$  can be embedded in a finite direct sum of copies of  $Q$  ([1, Proposition 4.5.4]). We naturally define the ordering of hereditary pretorsion classes of  $R$ -mod and that of left exact preradicals for  $R$ -mod. It is well known that there is an order preserving bijective correspondence between left linear topologies on  $R$ , hereditary pretorsion classes of  $R$ -mod and left exact preradicals for  $R$ -mod (see [9, p. 145]).

**1. Maximal linear topologies.** It is not assured that, for a given proper left Gabriel topology on  $R$ , there exists a maximal left Gabriel topology containing given one. In [7, Theorem 3.4], Meijer and Smith proved that the above property on a ring  $R$  holds if and only if every nonzero injective left  $R$ -module has a nonzero submodule whose annihilator is an  $M$ -ideal. If  $R$  satisfies the maximum condition for ideals, then  $R$  has the above property ([3, Proposition 3.2]). But we can prove the next

**Proposition 1.1.** *For every proper left linear topology  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $R$ , there exists a maximal left linear topology containing  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

*Proof.* This is done by Zorn's lemma.

**Lemma 1.2.** *For every left linear topology  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $R$  and left ideal  $A$  of  $R$ , there exists a unique minimal left linear topology  $\mathcal{L}^*$  containing  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $A$ . For  $J \in \mathcal{L}(R)$ ,  $J$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}^*$  if and only if there exist  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  and a finite subset  $F$  of  $R$  such that  $J \geq I \cap AF^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{L}^*$  be the set of left ideals  $J$  of  $R$  such that there exist  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  and a finite subset  $F$  of  $R$  satisfying  $J \geq I \cap AF^{-1}$ . It is sufficient to show that  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is in fact a left linear topology. Clearly  $\mathcal{L}^*$  satisfies T1. Assume  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  belong to  $\mathcal{L}^*$ . Then there exist left ideals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  and finite subsets  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  of  $R$  such that  $J_i \geq I_i \cap AF_i^{-1}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). Since  $I_1 \cap I_2 \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $AF_1^{-1} \cap AF_2^{-1} = A(F_1 \cup F_2)^{-1}$ , we have  $J_1 \cap J_2 \geq (I_1 \cap I_2) \cap A(F_1 \cup F_2)^{-1}$ , proving  $\mathcal{L}^*$  satisfies T2. Now assume  $J \in \mathcal{L}^*$  and  $a \in R$ . Then there exist  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  and a finite subset  $F$  of  $R$  such that  $J \geq I \cap AF^{-1}$ . Now we have  $Ja^{-1} \geq (I \cap AF^{-1})a^{-1} = Ia^{-1} \cap (AF^{-1})a^{-1}$

$= Ia^{-1} \cap A(aF)^{-1}$ . Since  $Ia^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}$ , we obtain  $Ja^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^*$ , proving  $\mathcal{L}^*$  satisfies T3.

Now we have a criterion of the maximality of left linear topologies.

**Theorem 1.3.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a proper left linear topology  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $R$ :*

- (1)  $\mathcal{L}$  is maximal.
- (2) For each left ideal  $A \notin \mathcal{L}$ , there exist  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  and a finite subset  $F$  of  $R$  such that  $I \cap AF^{-1} = 0$ .
- (3) For each left ideal  $A \notin \mathcal{L}$ , there exist  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  and a natural number  $n$  such that  $R$  can be embedded in  $R/I \oplus (R/A)^{(n)}$ .
- (4) For each left ideal  $A \notin \mathcal{L}$ , there exists  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $R/I \oplus R/A$  is cofaithful.

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{L}$  is maximal if and only if, for each left ideal  $A \notin \mathcal{L}$ , 0 belongs to the unique minimal left linear topology containing  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $A$ . Hence by using Lemma 1.2, we have (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). Now assume, for each left ideal  $A \notin \mathcal{L}$ , there exist  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  and a finite subset  $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$  of  $R$  such that  $I \cap Ar_1^{-1} \cap \dots \cap Ar_n^{-1} = 0$ . Then  $R$  is embedded in  $R/I \oplus R/Ar_1^{-1} \oplus \dots \oplus R/Ar_n^{-1}$ . But  $R/Ar_i^{-1} \cong (Rr_i + A)/A \leq R/A$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Hence we have (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). The implication (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) is trivial. Finally, assume for each left ideal  $A \notin \mathcal{L}$ , there exist  $I \in \mathcal{L}$  and a natural number  $n$  with a monomorphism  $f: R \rightarrow (R/I)^{(n)} \oplus (R/A)^{(n)}$ . Put  $f(1) = (\bar{s}_1, \dots, \bar{s}_n, \bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_n)$ , where  $s_i, r_i \in R$  and  $\bar{s}_i = s_i + I$  and  $\bar{r}_i = r_i + A$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $f(x) = (x\bar{s}_1, \dots, x\bar{s}_n, x\bar{r}_1, \dots, x\bar{r}_n) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ , we have  $Is_1^{-1} \cap \dots \cap Is_n^{-1} \cap Ar_1^{-1} \cap \dots \cap Ar_n^{-1} = 0$ . Thus we have proved (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), because  $Is_1^{-1} \cap \dots \cap Is_n^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}$ .

**Corollary 1.4.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a proper hereditary pretorsion class  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $R$ -mod:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{S}$  is maximal.
- (2) For each (cyclic) left  $R$ -module  $M \notin \mathcal{S}$ , there exist a cyclic left  $R$ -module  $C \in \mathcal{S}$  and a natural number  $n$  such that  $R$  can be embedded in  $C \oplus M^{(n)}$ .
- (3) For each (cyclic) left  $R$ -module  $M \notin \mathcal{S}$ , there exists a cyclic left  $R$ -module  $C \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $C \oplus M$  is cofaithful.

**Corollary 1.5.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a proper*

left exact preradical  $r$  for  $R$ -mod :

- (1)  $r$  is maximal.
- (2) For each (cyclic) left  $R$ -module  $M$  with  $r(M) \neq M$ , there exists a cyclic left  $R$ -module  $C$  with  $r(C) = C$  such that  $t_C + t_M$  is cofaithful.

In [8] Rubin called a left ideal  $A$  of  $R$  *weakly essential* if  $AF^{-1} \neq 0$  for every finite subset  $F$  of  $R$ . Note that, if a left ideal  $A$  is weakly essential, then  $AX^{-1}$  is also weakly essential for every finite subset  $X$  of  $R$ . We remark that every member of a proper left linear topology on  $R$  is weakly essential. Now we shall consider the case when a left linear topology is unique maximal.

**Proposition 1.6.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a proper left linear topology  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $R$  :*

- (1)  $\mathcal{L}$  is unique maximal.
- (2)  $\mathcal{L}$  coincides with the set of all weakly essential left ideals of  $R$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{L}$  contains all weakly essential left ideals of  $R$ .

*Proof.* For a left ideal  $A$  of  $R$ , we put  $\mathcal{L}_A$  a unique minimal left linear topology containing  $A$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_A$  consists of those left ideals  $B$  such that  $B \geq AF^{-1}$  for some finite subset  $F$  of  $R$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). If  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $AF^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}$  for every finite subset  $F$  of  $R$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is proper, we see that  $A$  is weakly essential. Conversely assume  $A$  is a weakly essential left ideal of  $R$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_A$  is proper and so  $\mathcal{L}_A \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ . Hence we have  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $\mathcal{L}'$  be a proper left linear topology on  $R$ . For each  $A \in \mathcal{L}'$ , we have  $AF^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}'$  for every finite subset  $F$  of  $R$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}'$  is proper, we see  $A$  is weakly essential, and so  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  by (3). Therefore we have proved  $\mathcal{L}$  is unique maximal.

The following corollary was proved by Nicholson and Sarath by using the notion of  $\alpha$ -weak essentiality. But we can prove this directly.

**Corollary 1.7** (Nicholson and Sarath [6, Theorem 1]). *The following conditions are equivalent for a ring  $R$  with the set  $\mathcal{L}$  of all weakly essential left ideals of  $R$  :*

- (1)  $R$  has a unique maximal left linear topology.
- (2)  $\mathcal{L}$  forms a left linear topology.

(3) If  $A$  and  $B$  belong to  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $A \cap B \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). This is clear by using Proposition 1.6.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Clear.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Clearly  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies T1. As noted above,  $\mathcal{L}$  also satisfies T3. Now assume  $A$  and  $B$  belong to  $\mathcal{L}$ . For every finite subset  $F$  of  $R$ , we see that  $AF^{-1}$  and  $BF^{-1}$  belong to  $\mathcal{L}$ . Hence  $(A \cap B)F^{-1} = AF^{-1} \cap BF^{-1} \neq 0$  by (3). Thus  $A \cap B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}$ . Therefore we showed that  $\mathcal{L}$  satisfies T2.

**Example 1.8.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $\mathcal{L}$  the set of all essential left ideals of  $R$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a proper left linear topology on  $R$ . By using Theorem 1.3, we notice that  $\mathcal{L}$  is maximal if and only if every weakly essential left ideal of  $R$  is essential. In this case,  $\mathcal{L}$  is unique maximal by Proposition 1.6. In case  $R$  is commutative, we remark that  $\mathcal{L}$  is maximal if and only if every nonzero ideal of  $R$  is essential. Thus we conclude that, if  $R$  is a commutative semiprime ring,  $\mathcal{L}$  is maximal if and only if  $R$  is prime.

**2. The complement of linear topologies.** In [7] Meijer and Smith concerned with the collection  $N(R)$  of those left ideals of  $R$  which do not belong to any proper left Gabriel topology on  $R$ . As mentioned in [7, Lemma 2.1], a left ideal  $I$  belongs to  $N(R)$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, E) \neq 0$  for every nonzero injective left  $R$ -module  $E$ . Now we shall consider the set

$$C(R) = \{I \in \mathcal{L}(R) \mid I \notin \mathcal{L} \text{ for every proper left linear topology } \mathcal{L} \text{ on } R\}.$$

Clearly  $0 \in C(R)$  and  $R \notin C(R)$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{L}(R)$  and  $A \leq B$  for some  $B \in C(R)$ , then  $A \in C(R)$ . Remark that  $C(R) \subseteq N(R)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The following statements are equivalent for a left ideal  $A$  of a ring  $R$ :*

- (1)  $A \in C(R)$ .
- (2)  $A$  is not weakly essential, i.e.,  $AF^{-1} = 0$  for some finite subset  $F$  of  $R$ .
- (3)  $R/A$  is cofaithful.

*Proof.* (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2). For a left ideal  $A$ ,  $A \in C(R)$  if and only if  $0 \in \mathcal{L}$  for every left linear topology  $\mathcal{L}$  containing  $A$ , or equivalently

0 belongs to the unique minimal left linear topology containing  $A$ . As noted in the proof of Proposition 1.6,  $0 \in \mathcal{L}_A$  if and only if  $AF^{-1} = 0$  for some finite subset  $F$  of  $R$ .

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3). This is proved by the same method as is used in the proof of Theorem 1.3. (See [1, Proposition 4.5.4]).

A left linear topology  $\mathcal{L}$  is called *super* if  $\mathcal{L}$  contains a unique minimal member. Such a member is in fact a two-sided ideal. We denote by  $C_s(R)$  the set of those left ideals which do not belong to any proper super left linear topology on  $R$ . Clearly  $C_s(R) \supseteq C(R)$ . If  $R$  is left artinian, then every left linear topology on  $R$  is super, and so  $C_s(R) = C(R)$ . For a ring  $R$  with Jacobson radical  $J$ , it was proved in [7, Proposition 2.9] that  $N(R)$  consists of all proper left ideals of  $R$  if and only if  $J$  is right T-nilpotent and  $R/J$  is a simple artinian ring. By the definition,  $C_s(R)$  consists of all proper left ideals of  $R$  if and only if  $R$  is a two-sided simple ring.

**Theorem 2.2.** *The following statements are equivalent for a ring  $R$  :*

- (1)  $C(R)$  contains all maximal left ideals of  $R$ .
- (2)  $C(R)$  consists of all proper left ideals of  $R$ .
- (3)  $R$  is a simple artinian ring.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Clear.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Assume (2). Then every nonzero cyclic left  $R$ -module is cofaithful by Theorem 2.1. Thus every nonzero left  $R$ -module is also cofaithful. In particular every nonzero left ideal of  $R$  is cofaithful, and so  $R$  is left strongly prime (see [5, Proposition 2.5]). Also since every faithful left  $R$ -module is cofaithful,  $\text{soc}({}_R R) \neq 0$  by [2, Proposition 1]. Hence  $R$  must be simple artinian by [5, Theorem 4.3].

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Assume  $I$  is a maximal left ideal of  $R$ . Then  ${}_R(R/I)$  is cofaithful and so  $I$  belongs to  $C(R)$  by Theorem 2.1.

In [7] the other extreme when  $N(R) = \{0\}$  was considered. It was shown in [7, Theorem 6.4] that  $N(R) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $R$  is a reduced ring and  $Ra + 0a^{-1}$  is essential left ideal of  $R$  for all  $a \in R$ . We remark that  $C_s(R) = \{0\}$  if and only if every nonzero left ideal of  $R$  contains a nonzero ideal of  $R$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *The following statements are equivalent for a ring  $R$  :*

- (1)  $C(R) = \{0\}$ .

- (2) Every nonzero left ideal of  $R$  is weakly essential.  
 (3) Every nonzero cyclic left ideal of  $R$  is weakly essential.  
 (4) For every nonzero element  $a$  of  $R$  and elements  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  of  $R$ , there exist a nonzero element  $a'$  of  $R$  and elements  $r'_i$  in  $R$  such that  $a'r_i = r'_i a$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). This is clear by Theorem 2.1.

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3). Clear.

(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4). Let  $A = Ra$  be a nonzero cyclic left ideal of  $R$ . Then  $A$  is weakly essential if and only if, for every elements  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  of  $R$ ,  $Ar_1^{-1} \cap \dots \cap Ar_n^{-1} \neq 0$  holds. This occurs if and only if, for every elements  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  of  $R$ , there exists a nonzero element  $a'$  of  $R$  such that  $a'r_i \in A = Ra$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).

**Corollary 2.4** (cf. [7, Corollaries 5.2 and 6.5]). *If  $R$  is a domain, then  $C(R) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $R$  satisfies the left Ore condition.*

*Proof.* Assume  $R$  is a left Ore domain with a classical left quotient ring  $Q_{cl}^l(R)$ . For every nonzero element  $a$  of  $R$  and elements  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  of  $R$ , there exist nonzero elements  $a'_i$  of  $R$  and elements  $s_i$  of  $R$  such that  $r_i a^{-1} = a_i'^{-1} s_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). As is well known (see [4, p. 392]), there exist a nonzero element  $a'$  of  $R$  and elements  $t_i$  of  $R$  such that  $a_i'^{-1} = a'^{-1} t_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Put  $r'_i = t_i s_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Thus we have  $a'r_i = r'_i a$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and so  $C(R) = \{0\}$ . We can also show this fact by using [7, Corollary 5.2] with  $C(R) \subseteq N(R)$ . The reverse implication is obvious.

**Remark 2.5.** The property that  $C(R) = \{0\}$  of rings  $R$  is not a Morita invariant. To see this, let  $K$  be a field. By Theorem 2.3, we see  $C(K) = \{0\}$ . But consider the ring  $R$  of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $K$  for some  $n > 1$ . As shown in Theorem 2.2, we have  $C(R) \neq \{0\}$ . On the other hand, the property that  $R$  has a unique maximal left linear topology is a Morita invariant ([6, Corollary to Theorem 2]). Hence we conclude that the above two properties on  $R$  are not equivalent.

By using Theorem 2.3, we shall prove the next two propositions.

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $R$  is a left order in a ring  $Q$ , then  $C(Q) = \{0\}$  implies  $C(R) = \{0\}$ . Furthermore, if  $R$  is a domain, then  $C(Q) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose there are given elements  $r (\neq 0)$ ,  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  in  $R$ .



By  $C(Q) = \{0\}$ , there exist elements  $q(\neq 0)$ ,  $q_1, \dots, q_n$  in  $Q$  such that  $qr_i = q_i r$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). We can find a regular element  $r'$  in  $R$  with  $r'q(\neq 0)$ ,  $r'q_1, \dots, r'q_n \in R$ . Thus we have  $(r'q)r_i = (r'q_i)r$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and so  $C(R) = \{0\}$ .

Now assume  $R$  is a domain. For every elements  $q(\neq 0)$ ,  $q_1, \dots, q_n$  of  $Q$ , there exist a regular element  $r$  in  $R$  with  $rq(\neq 0)$ ,  $rq_1, \dots, rq_n \in R$ . Since  $C(R) = \{0\}$  by Corollary 2.4, there exist  $r'(\neq 0)$ ,  $r'_1, \dots, r'_n$  in  $R$  such that  $r'(rq_i) = r'_i(rq)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Noting that  $r'r(\neq 0)$  and  $r'_i r$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) belong to  $Q$ , we obtain  $C(Q) = \{0\}$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *Suppose  $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$  is a direct sum of rings  $R_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Then  $C(R) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $C(R_i) = \{0\}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume  $n = 2$ . Let  $S$  and  $T$  be rings. Assume  $C(S) = \{0\}$  and  $C(T) = \{0\}$ . Let  $(s, t)(\neq 0)$ ,  $(s_1, t_1), \dots, (s_n, t_n)$  be elements of  $S \times T$ . We may assume that  $s \neq 0$ . By  $C(S) = \{0\}$ , there exist  $s'(\neq 0)$ ,  $s'_1, \dots, s'_n$  in  $S$  such that  $s's_i = s'_i s$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). If  $t = 0$ , then we have  $(s', t)(s_i, t_i) = (s'_i, t_i)(s, t)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). If  $t \neq 0$ , by  $C(T) = \{0\}$ , there exist  $t'(\neq 0)$ ,  $t'_1, \dots, t'_n$  in  $T$  such that  $t't_i = t'_i t$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), and so we have  $(s', t')(s_i, t_i) = (s'_i, t'_i)(s, t)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Therefore we have  $C(S \times T) = \{0\}$ .

Conversely assume  $C(S \times T) = \{0\}$ . To show  $C(S) = \{0\}$ , let  $s(\neq 0)$ ,  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  be elements of  $S$ . For the elements  $(s, 0)$ ,  $(s_1, 1), \dots, (s_n, 1)$  in  $S \times T$ , there exist elements  $(s', t')(\neq 0)$ ,  $(s'_1, t'_1), \dots, (s'_n, t'_n)$  in  $S \times T$  such that  $(s', t')(s_i, 1) = (s'_i, t'_i)(s, 0)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). Then we have  $s's_i = s'_i s$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) and  $s' \neq 0$  because  $t' = 0$ . Therefore we showed  $C(S) = \{0\}$ .

**Example 2.8.** There may be many rings  $R$  such that  $C(R)$  are not extreme. To give such an example, we shall calculate  $C(R)$  where  $R$  is the  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular matrix ring over a field  $K$ . There are three types of minimal left ideals of  $R$ , namely  $A = \begin{pmatrix} K & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} xa & xb \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in K \right\}$  for some fixed nonzero elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $K$ . Let  $e_{11}$ ,  $e_{12}$  and  $e_{22}$  be matrix units in  $R$ . Since  $Ae_{12}^{-1} \cap Ae_{22}^{-1} = 0$ ,  $A$  belongs to  $C(R)$ . Also since  $Be_{11}^{-1} \cap Be_{22}^{-1} = 0$ ,  $B$  belongs to  $C(R)$ . But since  $C$  is an ideal of  $R$ , it is weakly essential and so  $C$  does not belong to  $C(R)$ . Now

let  $I$  be a left ideal of  $R$  which contains  $A$  or some  $B$  strictly. Then  $I$  also contains  $C$  and so  $I$  does not belong to  $C(R)$ . Thus we conclude that  $C(R)$  consists precisely of  $A$  and those left ideals  $B$ .

## REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] J. A. BEACHY : Generating and cogenerating structures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 158 (1971), 75–92.
- [ 2 ] J. A. BEACHY : On quasi-Artinian rings, J. London Math. Soc. 3 (1971), 449–452.
- [ 3 ] J. A. BEACHY : On maximal torsion radicals, Canad. J. Math. 25 (1973), 712–726.
- [ 4 ] C. FAITH : Algebra I, Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [ 5 ] H. KATAYAMA : On rings for which various types of nonzero preradicals are cofaithful, Hokkaido Math. J. 12 (1983), 49–63.
- [ 6 ] W. K. NICHOLSON and B. SARATH : Rings with a largest topology, Comm. Alg. 13 (1985), 769–780.
- [ 7 ] A. R. MEIJER and P. F. SMITH : The complement of Gabriel topologies, J. pure and appl. Alg. 31 (1984), 119–137.
- [ 8 ] R. A. RUBIN : Absolutely torsion-free rings, Pacific J. Math. 46 (1973), 503–514.
- [ 9 ] B. STENSTORÖM : Rings of quotients, Springer-Verlag, 1975.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY

*(Received September 28, 1985)*